

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PHNL031211WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	
See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/IB2004/051950	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 01 October 2004 (01.10.2004)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 07 October 2003 (07.10.2003)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.		

<p>1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p>In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.</p>																	
<p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 85%; padding: 5px;">Box No. I Basis of the report</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Box No. II Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Box No. VI Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).</p>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I Basis of the report	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II Priority	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI Certain documents cited	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I Basis of the report																
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II Priority																
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability																
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention																
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI Certain documents cited																
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application																
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application																

<div style="text-align: right; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <input style="width: 150px; height: 15px; border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 5px; padding: 2px 5px; margin-right: 10px;" type="text"/> Date of issuance of this report <input style="width: 150px; height: 15px; border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 5px; padding: 2px 5px; margin-right: 10px;" type="text"/> 10 April 2006 (10.04.2006) </div> <div style="text-align: right; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <input style="width: 150px; height: 15px; border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 5px; padding: 2px 5px; margin-right: 10px;" type="text"/> Authorized officer <input style="width: 150px; height: 15px; border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 5px; padding: 2px 5px; margin-right: 10px;" type="text"/> Idhir Britel </div> <div style="text-align: right; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <input style="width: 150px; height: 15px; border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 5px; padding: 2px 5px; margin-right: 10px;" type="text"/> Telephone No. <input style="width: 150px; height: 15px; border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 5px; padding: 2px 5px; margin-right: 10px;" type="text"/> +41 22 338 70 60 </div>	
<p>The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland</p> <p>Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35</p>	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 07 APR 2005

WIPO

PCT

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/B2004/051950	International filing date (day/month/year) 01.10.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 07.10.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G11B20/12		
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1b/s(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer Sucher, R Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2148	
--	---	---

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material:
 a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material:
 in written format
 in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing:
 contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/IB2004/051950

Box No. II Priority

1. The following document has not been furnished:

copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).

translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.

3. The International Searching Authority has not been able to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed was not available to the International Searching Authority at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

4. Additional observations, if necessary:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, Inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement

1 Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-14
No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-14
No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-14
No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 2002/136118 A1 (TAKAHASHI HIDEKI) 26 September 2002;
D2: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2000, no. 07, 29 September 2000 & JP
2000 123494 A (KENWOOD CORP), 28 April 2000.

2. The present invention relates to a device (claim 1) and a method (claim 13) for recording real-time data and auxiliary data related to the real-time data on a recording medium. According to claims 1 and 13, physical addresses are allocated to logical addresses, wherein a logically contiguous range of blocks is allocated to at least a part of the real-time data. Physical addresses assigned to auxiliary data blocks are excluded from allocation to logical addresses and are near a physical address range corresponding to the contiguous range of blocks allocated to the part of the real-time data.

With that, auxiliary data can be recorded without changing the real-time data stream and without affecting the real-time property when reproducing the real-time data with or without the auxiliary data.

Document D1 discloses a secret area for recording information related to information stored in a user area, wherein the secret area is defined only by physical addresses. D1 also discloses that a plurality of secret areas may be defined, but is silent about the position of the secret areas within the user area, i.e. there is no restriction suggested with regard to the physical addresses of the secret area.

Document D2 discloses to arrange continuous data and data related to the continuous data in near physical positions on a recording medium for improving the reading speed of said data. However, D2 does not disclose not to allocate logical addresses to the blocks of related data.

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/051950

Thus, the subject-matter of claims 1 and 13 appears to involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The same statement also applies to the corresponding reading device according to claim 11.

3. Claims 2-10, 12 and 14 are dependent on claims 1, 11 and 13 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.